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ABSTRACT.—The Jurassic Todilto Formation of northern New Mexico and southern Colorado is a distinctive lithostratigraphic unit in the San Juan Basin. It consists mostly of carbonates and evaporites in a thick section otherwise dominated by siliciclastic sediments. The Todilto has broad and diverse economic importance in New Mexico. Limestone of the lower Luciano Mesa Member is the host for important uranium deposits, mostly north and west of Grants. Uranium was also produced from the Todilto near Laguna, with extremely minor production from elsewhere in the San Juan Basin. The Todilto uranium deposits are the largest known uranium deposits in limestone in the world, and appear to reflect a rare combination of circumstances. Intraformational folds in the Todilto, many of which localize uranium deposits, also appear to represent a somewhat unlikely combination of multiple origins; it is difficult to establish the relative importance of each process. Other commodities are produced from the Todilto Formation. Gypsum is produced from the upper Tongue Arroyo Member of the Todilto, which is more restricted in its occurrence than the limestone member. It has been quarried at several locations, with current production at White Mesa, near San Ysidro. At Cedar Crest, east of Albuquerque, the gypsum is sufficiently massive to be used as a raw material for sculpture, and is known to sculptors as Cedar Crest alabaster. Another product is limestone, produced from the lower Luciano Mesa Member. Most limestone quarries are in the Todilto outcrop belt between Gallup and Grants, with intermittent current production. The Todilto is believed to be the source rock for petroleum produced from the Entrada Sandstone in the San Juan Basin. Geologic hazards associated with mining in the Todilto Formation are not well documented, but presumed minimal, with the exception of local surface land subsidence in the Ambrosia Lake area. Indoor radon associated with uranium deposits in the Todilto is a potential hazard, but most of the known deposits and mines are in sparsely populated areas.

INTRODUCTION

The Jurassic Todilto Formation is a widespread and distinctive lithostratigraphic unit in northern New Mexico and southwestern Colorado. The Todilto Formation overlies the Entrada Sandstone and is overlain by coastal plain and tidal flat deposits of the Summerville or Wanakah Formation. It was deposited within a Jurassic basin that occupied much of the present San Juan Basin and extended into northeastern New Mexico and southwestern Colorado (Fig. 1). It is correlated with the Pony Express Limestone Member of the Wanakah Formation in Colorado and the Curtis Formation in Utah (Green, 1982). This correlation has led some geologists to suggest that the Todilto Formation be reduced in rank to a member, a concept not accepted by the authors and by other geologists working in the southwestern United States. Therefore, the Todilto Formation will be considered a formation in this report.

The Todilto Formation includes a basal limestone, the Luciano Mesa Member (Lucas et al., 1995), consisting mostly of microlaminated, kerogenic limestone, which is 2-13 m thick, and is present everywhere in the Todilto depositional basin. This unit consists of three zones: a basal platy or laminated zone (2-5 m thick), a crinkly or crenulated zone (1-3 m thick), and an upper massive zone (from near zero to 2-5 m thick). This member crops out over an extensive area of northern New Mexico as well as part of southwestern Colorado. The more restricted Tongue Arroyo Member (Lucas et al., 1995) is largely gypsum, with anhydrite present in the subsurface, and reaches a maximum thickness of 61 m. It has a more limited outcrop belt (Fig. 1), varies widely in thickness, with numerous local pinchouts, and is absent in several areas where the limestone is well exposed, such as the area west of Grants where most of the known Todilto uranium deposits are found.

Todilto deposition took place during a short interval of Middle Jurassic time in a paralic salina with a maximum surface area of 88,000 km², culminated by a gypsiferous evaporitic lake (Fig. 1; Lucas et al., 1985; Kirkland et al., 1995; Lucas and Anderson, 1996, 2000). The salina deposits are represented by the lower, limestone member (Luciano Mesa Member of Lucas et al., 1995) and the evaporitic lake deposits comprise the upper, gypsum member (Tongue Arroyo Member of Lucas et al., 1995).

As applied to the Todilto, the salina concept envisions an isolated or nearly isolated coastal body of saline water (brine-pool) that received both marine and non-marine water (Denison et al., 1998). This concept was developed in detail by Lucas et al. (1985), and has subsequently been supported by Kirkland et al. (1995) as well as by Denison et al. (1998), based in part on strontium isotope studies.
MINERAL RESOURCES

Uranium

The Grants uranium district is well known for large resources of sandstone- and limestone-hosted uranium deposits (Fig. 2; McLaughlin, 1963; McLemore and Chenoweth, 1989; McLemore et al., 2002a; McLemore and Chenoweth, this guidebook). More than 100 uranium mines and occurrences are found in the Todilto Formation in New Mexico; 42 mines have yielded uranium production (McLemore, 1983; McLemore et al., 2002a). Most of these are in the Grants and Laguna districts, although minor occurrences are found in the Chama Basin (Abiquiu), Nacimiento Mountains, and Sanostee district in the Chuska Mountains. Mineralization extends into the underlying Entrada Sandstone or overlying Summerville Formation in a few areas. Uranium is found in the Todilto Formation only where the gypsum-anhydrite member is absent (Hilpert, 1969), most likely as a result of non-deposition. Numerous drill holes exceeding depths of 1000 ft in the Ambrosia Lake area of the Grants district encountered uranium minerals in the Todilto (McLemore, 1983).

Uranium minerals were observed in the Todilto Formation in the early 1920s, before the beginning of uranium mining in the Grants district. From 1950 through 1981, mines in the Grants district yielded 3,335.76 tons of U₃O₈ from the Todilto Formation, amounting to slightly more than 2% of the total uranium produced from the entire Grants mining district (Table 1; Chenoweth, 1985; McLemore and Chenoweth, 1989). Uranium has not been produced from the Todilto Formation since 1981, and data describing the distribution and occurrence of uranium in the Todilto are widely scattered in published and unpublished reports and in company archives.

Uranium deposits in limestones are rare. Limestone is typically an unfavorable host rock for uranium because of low permeability and porosity and lack of suitable precipitants, such as organic mater-

![FIGURE 2. Uranium in the San Juan Basin, New Mexico (from McLemore and Chenoweth, 1989; McLemore, 2002).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>CIBOLA COUNTY</th>
<th>McKinley COUNTY</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1952</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>22.91</td>
<td>26.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953</td>
<td>26.69</td>
<td>72.42</td>
<td>99.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1954</td>
<td>53.61</td>
<td>145.77</td>
<td>199.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>60.68</td>
<td>170.70</td>
<td>231.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>43.67</td>
<td>152.09</td>
<td>195.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>37.71</td>
<td>154.93</td>
<td>192.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
<td>85.98</td>
<td>158.06</td>
<td>244.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>64.81</td>
<td>228.57</td>
<td>293.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>198.87</td>
<td>207.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>158.85</td>
<td>164.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>131.59</td>
<td>144.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>84.54</td>
<td>88.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>75.05</td>
<td>78.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>56.74</td>
<td>59.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>57.71</td>
<td>57.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>6.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>8.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>13.65</td>
<td>13.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>7.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>74.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>97.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>65.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>57.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>77.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>118.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>149.46</td>
<td>149.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>162.08</td>
<td>162.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>153.60</td>
<td>153.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>59.09</td>
<td>59.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>698.40</td>
<td>2637.36</td>
<td>3335.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
rrial. Uranium is found only in a few limestones in the world (Table 2), but the deposits in the Todilto are the largest and most productive. A set of unusual geological circumstances apparently allowed the formation of uranium deposits in the Todilto Formation.

The first uranium deposits discovered and mined consisted mostly of brightly-colored yellow minerals such as tyuyamunite, metatyuyamunite, and uranophane. Subsequently significant quantities of “black ore” containing uraninite (pitchblende), coffinite, and subsidiary vanadium oxide minerals were discovered as mining progressed. It became evident that the yellow minerals had formed by secondary near-surface oxidation (i.e., supergene alteration) of the primary black ores. Yellow minerals are rare to absent in the deeper mines. Lead-uranium isotopic dating of uraninite indicates that it formed shortly after the limestone was deposited; the yellow minerals formed at various later times (Berglof, 1992; Brookins, 1981b). A few deposits extend into the Entrada Sandstone, immediately below the Todilto, with uraninite filling pore spaces between sand grains.

Description of uranium-vanadium minerals

Uraninite is the predominant ore mineral in primary, unoxidized Todilto deposits, along with coffinite, fluorite, barite, hematite, and blue-black vanadium minerals such as paramontroseite and hâggité (Table 3). Where the deposits have been oxidized, as in those found close to the surface, brightly-colored yellow tyuyamunite, metatyuyamunite, and uranophane are important ore minerals. Carnotite is not abundant in Todilto deposits; tyuyamunite and metatyuyamunite form preferentially in the high-calcium limestone environment.

Uraninite occurs in disseminations, blebs, and replacements along bedding planes and fractures, and may be irregularly disseminated throughout mineralized limestone. Locally, uraninite forms rims around pyrite and detrital grains within the limestone (Laverty and Gross, 1956). Where uraninite is abundant, the limestone may appear red from associated fine-grained hematite. Uraninite may be surrounded by a halo of yellow to orange supergene uranium minerals. The common amorphous or microcrystalline form of uraninite is pitchblende; it is found in most deposits.

**TABLE 2.** Areas in the world containing uranium deposits in limestones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>FORMATION</th>
<th>REFERENCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferghana, Turkestan</td>
<td>Tyuya Muyun</td>
<td>Heinrich (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants, New Mexico</td>
<td>Todilto Formation</td>
<td>Numerous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra de Gomez, Sierra Blanca, Sierra de la Cal; Chihuahua and Durango, Mexico</td>
<td>Georgetown</td>
<td>Gabelman and Krusiewski (1967)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pryor and Bighorn Mountains, Montana and Wyoming</td>
<td>Madison</td>
<td>Hart (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>St. Genevieve</td>
<td>Gott et al. (1952), Gabelman (1956)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coffinite is a less common primary uranium mineral and typically occurs as massive, brown to black replacements of the limestone. It is usually associated with uraninite, pyrite, and vanadium minerals; where it is found near the surface it is oxidized to form the yellow secondary gene minerals. Truesdell and Weeks (1960) note that it is not associated with fluorite-bearing uranium ore.

Paramontroseite is blue-black when collected underground. It occurs along fractures with abundant coarse calcite and minor barite. Botryoidal forms are found at the Flat Top and Barbara J #2 mines. At the Flat Top mine, thin veins, approximately 30-60 cm long and up to 1-2 cm thick, occur along the east limb of a north-trending fold in the massive limestone member. Hâggité closely resembles paramontroseite.

Tyuyamunite occurs along with the related lower hydrate metatyuyamunite; the minerals differ in their water content and form reversibly from each other. The change from tyuyamunite to metatyuyamunite is readily observable in the laboratory and probably occurs frequently in nature depending on humidity conditions. The two minerals cannot be distinguished from each other in the field. Both minerals are calcium-bearing analogs of the well-known carnotite, which is common in many sandstone-type uranium deposits, and closely resemble it. Tyuyamunite and metatyuyamunite occur as massive to small scales, laths, and radial aggregates along fractures in the limestone. They are abundant in thin but conspicuous coatings on fractures and bedding surfaces in the limestone, and locally as platy crystals or in powdery masses. These yellow to green uranium minerals are the supergene alteration products of the primary uranium minerals and are common near the surface.

Uranophane is probably next in importance economically to uraninite and tyuyamunite, although it is not as abundant. It is a supergene alteration product of the primary uranium minerals. Uranophane occurs as yellow, finely-crystalline felted aggregates, bundles of acicular crystals, or as rosettes of megascopic acicular crystals. It typically coats fractures and locally bedding planes as radiating clusters of acicular crystals, and locally as thicker felted masses or showy acicular crystals in open spaces. It is most abundant in oxidized Todilto deposits that are low in vanadium. Beta-uranophane is suspected of occurring with uranophane, but has not been confirmed (Weeks and Thompson, 1954).

Boltwoodite is reported to occur in the Todilto deposits, but no additional information is given (Honea, 1961).

Schroeckingerite is rare in oxidized ore, but is locally an ore mineral. It occurs as light-green platy crystals, which fluoresce brilliant yellow-green in short-wave ultraviolet radiation. It also occurs as yellow, powdery to waxy impregnations and fracture coatings. Micaceous plates are locally common along fracture surfaces.

Curite is a rare yellow-orange to orange-red uranium mineral that occurs in fractures as thin aggregates. In the Section 25 shaft (sec. 25, T13N, R10W), south of the main haulage drift in a crosscut, curite occurred in a fracture as very small aggregates encrusting 5 mm calcite crystals, associated with uranophane (NMBGMR sample, collected by W. R. Berglof).

Hewettite and metahewettite are rare vanadium minerals that occur along fracture surfaces as fragile fibrous laths, bladed
### Table 3: Minerals in the Todilto Formation uranium deposits.

Additional minerals reported in the Todilto deposits, but where identification is not confirmed, include: jordisite, carnotite, autunite, rauvite, and uvanite. * Samples at NMBMR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MINERAL</th>
<th>CHEMICAL FORMULA</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>LUSTER</th>
<th>COMMON CRYSTAL FORM IN TODILTO DEPOSITS</th>
<th>CRYSTAL CLASS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>uraninite (pitchblende)*</td>
<td>UO₂</td>
<td>black</td>
<td>submetallic to dull</td>
<td>massive to botryoidal, impregnations</td>
<td>isometric to amorphous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coffinite</td>
<td>U(SiO₃)₁₋ₓ(OH)ₓ</td>
<td>black to brown</td>
<td>dull to adamantine</td>
<td>massive</td>
<td>tetragonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>montroseite (diaspore group)</td>
<td>(V,Fe)O(OH)</td>
<td>black</td>
<td>submetallic</td>
<td>blades</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paramontroseite (diaspore group)</td>
<td>VO₂</td>
<td>black</td>
<td>submetallic</td>
<td>blades</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>higgite</td>
<td>V₂O₇(OH)</td>
<td>black to brown</td>
<td>dull to adamantine</td>
<td>massive</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tyuyamunite*</td>
<td>Ca(UO₂)₃(VO₄)₂·5-8½H₂O</td>
<td>canary yellow to green</td>
<td>adamantine to waxy</td>
<td>radial aggregates, thin coatings, massive</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metatyuyamunite*</td>
<td>Ca(UO₂)₃(VO₄)₂·3-5H₂O</td>
<td>canary yellow to green</td>
<td>adamantine to waxy</td>
<td>radial aggregates, thin coatings</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uranophane*</td>
<td>Ca(UO₂)₃(SiO₄)OH·5H₂O</td>
<td>yellow to green</td>
<td>vitreous to dull to greasy</td>
<td>blades, massive, fibrous</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>schroeckingerite</td>
<td>Na₄Ca₃UO₆(CO₃)SO₄F·10H₂O</td>
<td>yellow to green</td>
<td>vitreous to waxy</td>
<td>coatings, impregnations, micaeous plates</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>curite*</td>
<td>Pb₂U₄O₁₇·4H₂O</td>
<td>yellow to orange-red</td>
<td>yellow-orange to orange-red</td>
<td>vitreous</td>
<td>small blades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hewettite</td>
<td>CaV₆O₁₈·9H₂O</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>vitreous to silky to dull</td>
<td>fibers, needles, laths, coatings</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metahewettite*</td>
<td>CaV₆O₁₈·3H₂O</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>vitreous to silky to dull</td>
<td>fibers, needles, laths, coatings</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>santafeite*</td>
<td>(Mn,Fe,Al,Mg)₈(Mn,Ca,Sr,Na)₁₂(VO₄)₁₀(OH)₁₀·8H₂O</td>
<td>black to brown</td>
<td>black, subadamantine</td>
<td>acicular crystal rosettes</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cuprosklodowskite*</td>
<td>Cu(UO₂)₃Si₂O₆·6H₂O</td>
<td>yellow to green</td>
<td>dull</td>
<td>flecks on santafeite</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grantsite</td>
<td>Na₄Ca₃V₂O₆·8H₂O</td>
<td>green to black</td>
<td>subadamantine</td>
<td>fibrous, blades</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goldmanite (garnet group)</td>
<td>Ca₄(V,Fe,Al)₂Si₂O₁₂</td>
<td>green to brown-green</td>
<td>vitreous to dull</td>
<td>anhedral grains, dodecahedrons</td>
<td>hexagonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rutherfordine</td>
<td>UO₂CO₃</td>
<td>yellow</td>
<td>dull</td>
<td>fibrous</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sklodowskite</td>
<td>Mg(UO₂)₃Si₂O₆·6H₂O</td>
<td>yellow</td>
<td>vitreous</td>
<td>needles</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calcite*</td>
<td>CaCO₃</td>
<td>white to black to clear</td>
<td>vitreous to dull</td>
<td>rhombohedron, massive, blades</td>
<td>hexagonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fluorite*</td>
<td>CaF₂</td>
<td>purple to clear</td>
<td>vitreous</td>
<td>massive</td>
<td>cubic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barite*</td>
<td>BaSO₄</td>
<td>brown</td>
<td>vitreous to dull</td>
<td>massive, bladed</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyrite*</td>
<td>FeS₂</td>
<td>brassy yellow, brown-red</td>
<td>metallic</td>
<td>blebs to cubic disseminations</td>
<td>cubic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>marcasite</td>
<td>FeS₂</td>
<td>brassy yellow</td>
<td>metallic</td>
<td>massive, pseudomorphtic cubes</td>
<td>hexagonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hematite*</td>
<td>Fe₂O₅</td>
<td>red to reddish brown</td>
<td>earthy</td>
<td>after pyrite</td>
<td>hexagonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>galena</td>
<td>PbS</td>
<td>gray</td>
<td>metallic</td>
<td>blebs</td>
<td>cubic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quartz</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>white to clear</td>
<td>vitreous</td>
<td>small crystals</td>
<td>hexagonal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
aggregates, or coatings, most abundantly at the F-33 mine, sec. 33, T12N, R9W. A sample at the NMBGMR is an earthy, fragile fibrous aggregate, probably formed in fractures or void spaces. Lovering (1956) also reported these minerals.

A small amount of santafeite was found as a new mineral in a limited area of sec. 25 and 26, T13N, R10W, near an area of prioruranium mining (Sun and Weber, 1958; Dunn and Peacor, 1986), and was initially thought to be ardennite. These two minerals are in fact closely related, having similarities in crystal chemistry, and are difficult to distinguish from one another (Moore et al., 1985). Santafeite occurs as small rosettes, 2-3 mm across, and as radially dispersed, acicular, black to brown crystals. Yellow-green cuprosklodowskite occurs as small flecks on the santafeite (Sun and Weber, 1955).

Goldmanite, discovered as a new mineral, is a vanadium-rich garnet related to andradite and grossular; it occurs at the Sandy mine in the Laguna area (Moench and Schlee, 1967) where a uranium-vanadium deposit in the Todilto Formation and Entrada Sandstone was intruded by a basaltic sill of probable late Tertiary age (Moench and Meyrowitz, 1964). Small crystals of goldmanite formed in vanadium-rich zones of the metamorphosed deposit. Subsequent to its discovery, goldmanite and related vanadium-bearing garnets have been found in at least 20 localities around the world in a wide variety of geological environments (e.g., references in Uher et al., 1994); these include the Franklin Marble, adjacent to the zinc ore deposits at Sterling Hill, New Jersey (Dunn and Frondel, 1990). It is also known from the Leoville carbonaceous chondrite (Simon and Grossman, 1992), the first reported occurrence in a meteorite.

Doloresite, a black vanadium mineral often showing a bronze-like tarnish, is reported to occur in Todilto deposits in sec. 33, T12N, R9W (Stern et al., 1957). Rutherfordine occurs as yellow masses of matted fibers (Lovering, 1956; Northrop, 1959). It is a rare supergene alteration of uraninite. Sklodowskite occurs as rare lemon-yellow needles in Todilto deposits (Lovering, 1956; Everhart, 1951; Northrop, 1959).

A black, shiny, vitreous mineral was found in the 8810 stope of Anaconda’s F-33 mine (S. R. Austin, unpubl. U.S. Atomic Energy Commission petrographic report, 1959). The mineral is found with calcite. Petrographic studies indicate that it is a molybdenum sulfide, probably jordisite.

Carnotite has been reported frequently, generally by visual examination. Much of the early literature referred, incorrectly, to the Todilto ore as carnitite ore. However, the predominant yellow mineral referred to as carnitote is usually tyuyamunite or metatyuyamunite. Carnotite may occur in the Todilto deposits, but it is not usually found in carbonate hosts (Northrop, 1959).

Autunite was reported by Rapaport (1955), but only by visual identification. The material may have been schroekingerite, which has been positively identified in the Todilto.

Ravuite and uvanite are uranium vanadates that have been reported to occur in Todilto deposits, but have not been confirmed (Rapaport, 1955; Northrop, 1959).

Granitite was discovered as a new greenish-black vanadium mineral in the F-33 deposit in sec. 33 and 34, T12N, R9W, and at three additional localities in Colorado and Utah (Weeks et al., 1964). It has apparently not been recognized elsewhere since the original discoveries.

**Description of gangue minerals**

Coarsely crystalline black calcite and paragenetically later white calcite are common in fractures and open spaces.

Fluorite occurs as very small crystals (less than 2-3 mm across) and fine-grained irregular replacements; known occurrences are roughly coextensive with uranium minerals, and probably are not related to fluorite vein deposits in the nearby Zuni Mountains mining district. Purple to purple-black fluorite is common, but some workers (Rapaport, 1952) report clear fluorite. Fluorite and uraninite are intergrown in some areas (Peters, 1956; Laverty and Gross, 1956); fluorite is locally cut by later calcite and late fluorite is zoned (Laverty and Gross, 1956).

Blades of clear to smoky brown barite are common in mineralized zones, and, like fluorite, known barite occurrences are roughly coextensive with uranium deposits.

Pyrite is common as an accessory mineral, and is infrequently observed coating scalenohedral calcite crystals.

Hematite is one of the more common iron oxide minerals found in the Todilto deposits, occurring as massive disseminations or as pseudomorphic cubes, 0.3-1 mm across, after pyrite (Rapaport, 1952). Hematite is often associated with concentrations of uraninite, and thus high-grade uraninite ore may appear distinctly red. Cryptomelane and psilomelane are also known as minor accessory minerals.

Microscopic galena crystals are associated with high-grade uraninite; much of the lead in the galena may have been derived from decay of uranium.

**Paragenesis**

The Flat Top mine was one of the few Todilto mines studied in detail (Gabelman, 1970). The primary mineralization stage occurred with the deposition of (early to late) hematite, manganese oxides, pyrite, fluorite, barite, vanadium oxides, pitchblende and coffinite, and a late pyrite. Late mineralization consisted of large calcite, hematite, and manganese minerals, and was followed by supergene alteration to yellow uranium minerals.

A similar sequence is described from the Barbara J #1 mine (Gabelman and Boyer, 1988). Primary mineralization consists of pitchblende and coffinite with (in order of abundance) calcite, manganese oxides, hematite, fluorite, barite, and pyrite. Supergene alteration formed tyuyamunite and uranophane.

At the La Jara mine calcite was deposited first, followed by uraninite and vanadium clay replacing the calcite. Subsequently, montroseite and tyuyamunite were deposited along the rims of the clay minerals and as fracture fillings (E. B. Gross, unpubl. U.S. Atomic Energy Commission petrographic report, 1958).

To summarize the sequence of events, deposition of limestone was followed by folding and fracturing which produced permeability in the limestone. Recrystallization, additional fracturing, and dissolution of the limestone occurred. An early stage of fluids deposited chlorite, dolomite, and illeite in the fractures and was probably accompanied by additional dissolution. The primary mineralization stage occurred next with deposition of early...
fluorite and pyrite, followed by deposition of uraninite and coffinite, locally intergrown with pyrite, barite, and fluorite. Pyrite, barite, and fluorite also occur along fractures and bedding planes in barren limestone within several feet of the uranium ore bodies. This stage was followed by deposition of calcite, barite, vanadium clay minerals, and pyrite. Iron and manganese oxides were deposited as the fluids were depleted in uranium and vanadium. Supergene alteration to yellow and green uranium minerals occurred at various times following the primary mineralization.

Structure

Mineable uranium ore bodies in the Todilto are generally localized along folds that are predominantly intraformational, ranging widely in size and geometry (Fig. 3). Several types of folds and fold-like structures, on different scales, are present within the Todilto in the Grants uranium district; not all are known to have influenced the location of uranium mineralization. These include: regional large-scale folds affecting the Todilto and units above and below it; large-scale intraformational folds with mappable axes (Fig. 3); mounds or pillow-like structures within the limestone; and several types of small-scale intraformational folds. The latter include sharp folding of varve-like thin bedding; within-layer folds resembling those described elsewhere as “enterolithic”; and microfolding of thin layers, including the “crinkly” bedding common in the middle and upper portions of the Luciano Mesa Member of the Todilto. The large-scale intraformational folds have clearly influenced the location of uranium deposits in the Todilto, presumably by providing zones of permeability through which mineralizing solutions moved. Not all of the folds are mineralized, but almost all primary uranium deposits in the Todilto are associated with the folds. Many folds were exposed in underground or surface mines that are now inaccessible; others are exposed on rim outcrops. Deposits in the Laguna district and small deposits distant from Grants (Sanostee, Box Canyon) also were associated with folds of this type.

The origin of the ore-controlling folds has remained controversial since they were first recognized during initial development and mining of Todilto uranium deposits shortly after 1950. An early suggestion (Rapaport, 1952) that the ore-controlling intraformational folds relate to Laramide tectonic activity seems unlikely, as later U-Pb isotopic dating of uraninite indicates that the deposits formed in Jurassic time shortly after the host rocks were deposited.

Evidence of soft-sediment deformation is prominent within the Todilto intraformational folds, consistent with their early formation. One hypothesis is that the weight of encroaching sediments of the overlying Summerville Formation deformed the soft lime muds of the Todilto. Some folds resemble tepees; along with small-scale enterolithic folds, these could relate at least in part to forces of crystallization or hydration of calcite and gypsum.

Slumping under the influence of gravity is a possible mechanism for the formation of folds, but it is not clear if slopes in the Todilto depositional basin were sufficient to initiate such movement. Earthquakes occurring during sedimentation are increasingly recognized as a possible cause of soft-sediment deformation, producing “seismites” (e.g., Ettensohn et al., 2002), which can develop even on gentle slopes. Tectonic activity during Todilto deposition is possible; active folding appears to have influenced the geometry of mineralized sandstones in the younger Morrison Formation (Moench and Schlee, 1967). Some Todilto folds resemble ones occurring in the Pleistocene Lisan Formation along the Dead Sea in Israel and Jordan, believed to be a sedimentological analog of the Todilto in a different tectonic setting (McCrary, 1985; Warren, 1989). The Lisan folds apparently formed at least in part from seismonic processes (El-Issa and Mustafa, 1986; Marco and Agnon, 1995).

The trends of some 1000 mappable fold axes for the Todilto intraformational folds were measured in the field, or obtained from published or unpublished mine maps. Representative rose diagrams of fold axis trends are in Figures 4-6. The relationships in these diagrams are typical; in some areas or mines the folds exhibit a preferred orientation, while in others the trends yield a nearly random pattern. Similar variability in fold trends in the Laguna district is shown in 534 readings compiled by Moench and Schlee (1967). The available fold data for the Todilto do not uniquely support any one of the hypotheses for the origin of the folds.

The variety of folds and fold-like structures in the Todilto suggests that they may have multiple origins. It is difficult to establish the relative importance of the various processes that may have contributed to the formation of these structures.

Age of uranium deposits

Geochronologic studies of Colorado Plateau uranium deposits began around 1950 during the early years of the “uranium boom,” but detailed studies in the Grants district were not published until more than 30 years later, after most mining had ended. Early work in Utah and Colorado yielded mostly discordant U-Pb ages;
these nevertheless suggested a late Cretaceous to early Tertiary (Laramide) age for several deposits, similar to the vein-type deposits of the Colorado Front Range (Stieff et al., 1953; Stieff and Stern, 1956). This idea was influential throughout the 1950s and early 1960s. Geologic studies in Grants and other districts, and slowly accumulating new geochronologic data, then suggested that many Plateau deposits are in reality much older, often approaching the age of their host rocks (Hilpert and Moench, 1960; Miller and Kulp, 1963; Moench and Schlee, 1967; Ludwig et al., 1984; Berglof, 1992; Ludwig and Simmons, 1992.)

The following conclusions have been reached for the Grants district from geochronologic studies published since around 1980. At Ambrosia Lake and Smith Lake, primary (trend) ore formed early in the history of the host sandstones of the Upper Jurassic Morrison Formation, at 130 Ma or earlier, based on U-Pb data and Rb-Sr and K-Ar ages of clay minerals penecontemporaneous with uranium minerals (Brookins, 1980; Ludwig et al., 1984). Redistributed (stack) ore and an oxidized uranium mineral (uranophane) at Ambrosia Lake have late Tertiary U-Pb ages of 3 to 12 Ma (Ludwig et al., 1984; Brookins, 1981a), consistent with geologic evidence for remobilization of uranium. Redistributed Morrison ore in the Church Rock area is as young as Pleistocene (Ludwig et al., 1982); redistribution was not extensive at Smith Lake. Primary deposits in the Morrison at Laguna may be younger than at Ambrosia Lake, but limited age data are inconclusive. The age of primary deposits in the Middle Jurassic Todilto Formation is 150-155 Ma, close to the age of the Todilto; these deposits are older than those in the Morrison (Finch, 1991; Berglof, 1992; Berglof and McLemore, 1996). Secondary Todilto uranophane yields U-Pb ages of 3 to 7 Ma (Brookins, 1981b), confirming Tertiary redistribution of uranium in this formation as well.

Most U-Pb ages from all ore-bearing horizons are discordant, attributed mainly to open-system behavior involving migration of radiogenic Pb and relatively long-lived intermediate daughter isotopes in the $^{238}$U decay chain. Under this interpretation the
The manufacture of portland cement, plaster of Paris, and as a soil conditioner. Gypsum production from the Tonque Arroyo Member of the Todilto forms the basis of New Mexico’s current gypsum industry, with most recent production having been from a quarry at White Mesa, near San Ysidro, north of Albuquerque.

Gypsum has been mined from White Mesa in the Nacimiento Mountains (sec. 14, 16, 28, T15N, R1E) since the 1950s. Production since 1960 has amounted to more than $20 million in cumulative value. The first production was for use in agriculture, and the gypsum was shipped as far as the San Luis Valley in southern Colorado (Logsdon, 1982). The largest mine is the White Mesa mine; a smaller operation, the G and W mine, yielded gypsum in the 1980s for use as a soil conditioner. It is mined from a dipole (10-20°) at White Mesa. The gypsum is used to manufacture wallboard at the Centex Bernalillo plant. Reserves are abundant and mining will probably continue far into the future.

Anhydrite is present in the subsurface throughout the Todilto basin and has been encountered in drill holes. At White Mesa quarrying has removed sufficient gypsum that some anhydrite is exposed in the lower 15 meters of the deposit, diluting the gypsum to the extent that this material is not mined (McLemore, 1996).

Todilto gypsum is used at the Rio Grande Portland Cement plant east of Albuquerque (Austin and Barker, 1998); it is combined with the ground cement clinker to retard setting time. Gypsum used at this plant was formerly produced from the San Felipe Pueblo, but is now obtained from White Mesa (G. S. Austin, personal commun., 1998).

Todilto gypsum from Cedar Crest, east of Albuquerque, has an unusual local use. It may be sufficiently massive to be an appropriate raw material for sculpture, and is known to sculptors as Cedar Crest alabaster (Maynard et al., 1991).

Limestone

Limestone has been produced for crushed stone from the Luciano Mesa Member of the Todilto Formation at several different locations in New Mexico, especially in the outcrop belt between Grants and Gallup. Crushed stone is one of the most accessible natural resources and is a major basic raw material used by construction, agriculture, and other industries. Despite the low value of its basic products, the crushed stone industry is a major contributor to and an indicator of the economic well-being of any city, county, state, or country. Crushed stone is quarried throughout New Mexico and is used in concrete aggregate, bituminous aggregate, roadstone and coverings, riprap, railroad ballast, and other construction uses.

At a typical quarry in the Todilto near Thoreau, New Mexico, the Gallup Sand and Gravel Co. produces a variety of limestone rock products from the Todilto (F. A. Kozelski, personal commun., 2002). Quarrying begins with the removal of an average of approximately one meter of soil and overburden overlying the economic-grade limestone. The thickness of remaining limestone suitable for production may be up to about five meters. The rock is drilled and blasted and carried to a primary crusher. The crushed limestone is then screened and depending on the desired product some may be conveyed to secondary crushers and then to a final screening. The company adjusts the precise details of its production.
Petroleum in the Entrada Sandstone

Evaporites such as the Todilto have traditionally been considered to be unlikely source rocks for petroleum (Evans and Kirkland, 1988), but have been increasingly recognized as potential sources as knowledge of the evaporitic environment has evolved. The Todilto is recognized as the most likely source for petroleum in the underlying Entrada Sandstone in several oil fields in the San Juan Basin (Vincellette and Chittum, 1981). Brown (1977) appears to have been the first to suggest that Entrada oil traps were formed on sand dune topography within the Entrada, with the overlying Todilto Formation forming a caprock seal. Vincellette and Chittum (1981) developed this concept further, suggesting that the Todilto is the source rock for the oil present in the Entrada, and that the oil was trapped by topographic relief developed on preserved eolian sand dunes at the top of the Entrada Sandstone.

These concepts have been confirmed or applied in several subsequent studies. For example, Chittum (1985) reported on additional seismic exploration establishing the presence of sand dunes within the Entrada Sandstone and thinning of the Todilto across the dunes. Massé and Ray (1995) reviewed 3-D seismic prospecting techniques used in evaluating Entrada prospects, consistent with the dune model.

Black (1999a, 1999b) noted that surface indications of oil can be observed on Entrada and Todilto outcrops in the Hagan basin far from the producing areas in the San Juan Basin, with the Todilto again being the most likely source of the oil.

Lucas and Kietzke (1986) suggested that the Todilto could have petroleum potential in northeastern New Mexico, but this possibility does not appear to have been further investigated.

GEOLOGICAL HAZARDS

Radon

Radon is a potential environmental hazard, especially where it becomes concentrated in houses or other buildings where people congregate. It is a radioactive gas, one of the daughter products of the decay of uranium. The predominant radon isotope in nature is $^{222}\text{Rn}$, which is part of the $^{238}\text{U}$ decay chain. It has a half-life of approximately four days, allowing it to accumulate in potentially hazardous quantities where a source is present. Elevated radon concentrations are thus likely around uranium deposits or uranium tailings (McLemore and Hawley, 1988). Most areas near known uranium deposits or mine waste derived from Todilto uranium deposits in New Mexico are very sparsely populated, and most surface and underground mines have been reclaimed. Radon hazards in these areas appear minimal.

A limited number of limestone quarries in the Todilto have been developed in areas where uranium was known to occur or was previously mined; for example, the Griego pit in sec. 20, T11N, R9W (Cedar mine) and the Andrews pit in sec. 19, T14N, R11W (Billy the Kid mine). Most crushed limestone from quarries of this type has been used for road construction and thus is not likely to yield a substantial radon hazard within enclosed structures.

Karst

Geologic hazards related to mining of mineral deposits in limestone and potential karst terrains such as the Todilto Formation include removal of rock that results in subsidence (i.e., formation of induced sinkholes and caves); lowering of the ground water table (locally resulting in subsidence); contamination of ground water (e.g., waste water discharge, acid mine drainage); and changes in ground-water flow patterns (including increased mine water drainage).

The effects of mining in karst in New Mexico, including the Todilto Formation, are poorly documented. Many of the uranium mines developed in the Todilto Formation are shallow surface mines with limited potential hazards. However, several Todilto mines in the Ambrosia Lake subdistrict of the Grants district were underground operations and surface subsidence has occurred in these areas (V. T. McLemore, unpubl. field investigations). These mines were for the most part above the water table and probably did not impact the local aquifers.

CONCLUSIONS

The Jurassic Todilto Formation is one of the most distinctive and widely recognized lithostratigraphic units in the southwestern United States. It has diverse economic importance in New Mexico as the host rock for uranium deposits near Grants, as the basis for New Mexico’s gypsum mining industry, as a source rock for petroleum in the San Juan Basin, and as a local source for a variety of crushed limestone products. The Todilto is not known to be associated with significant geological hazards, but because it contains uranium deposits it does have the potential for producing local radon gas accumulations. There also are potential land subsidence hazards in areas of previous uranium mining or of limited karst development in the limestone.
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