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ABSTRACT—Basalt flows have been mapped throughout the Sierra Cuchillo and in the Mud Springs Mountains. These basalts are typically small volume flows that are interbedded with sedimentary rocks. Before this study, many of these basalts were inferred to be Pliocene, based mostly on appearance and mineralogy compared to that of Pliocene basalts dated by K/Ar methods. Ten basalt flows were dated by the $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ method in an effort to understand the depositional and tectonic evolution of the Monticello and Winston grabens. The basalt flows in the Cañada Alamosa and Spring Canyon areas of the Sierra Cuchillo range in age between ~18.0 and 18.7 Ma, with typical errors of 0.05 to 0.3 Ma. The Priest Tank basalt flow is similar in age at 19.06 ± 0.05 Ma relative to the stratigraphically equivalent basalt flows in Cañada Alamosa and Spring Canyon to the north. These basalts, which are interbedded with Neogene sedimentary deposits, indicate that the Monticello graben was undergoing sedimentation at ~18-19 Ma, probably in conjunction with tectonic subsidence. The andesite of Winston graben also is ~18 Ma (K/Ar). Two separate eruptions formed the basalt cap on Tabletop Mountain near Winston at 4.23 ± 0.36 and 4.91 ± 0.03 Ma. The Tabletop Mountain basalt places a minimum age on the end of deposition of the Santa Fe Group sediments in the Winston graben. These $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ results indicate two episodes of basalt magmatism in the Sierra Cuchillo, at 19-18 Ma and again at 4.9 - 4.2 Ma, with the first episode occurring during a time of sparse volcanism in the rift. The Mud Springs Mountains basalt flow is 5.55 ± 0.21 Ma. Chemical analyses of selected basalts indicate that they are alkaline basalts.

INTRODUCTION

Basalt flows have been mapped throughout the Sierra Cuchillo (Fig. 1), specifically in the Cañada Alamosa area (Figs. 2, 3; Maldonado, 1974, 1980, 2012; McLemore, 2010, 2012a, b), Priest Tank area (Fig. 4; Heyl et al. 1983), and Tabletop Mountain near Winston (Fig. 5; Seager et al., 1984; Harrison, 1989, 1992, 1994; Jahns et al., 2006). Basalts also were mapped in the Mud Springs Mountains (Fig. 6; Lozinsky, 1986; Maxwell and Oakman, 1990). These basalts are typically small volume flows that are interbedded with sedimentary rocks. Prior to this study, many of these basalts were inferred to be Pliocene in age, based mostly on appearance and mineralogy to that of a few Pliocene basalts dated by K/Ar methods (Table 1). Knowing the ages of these basalts is important to understand the sedimentologic and structural evolution of the Monticello and Winston grabens. Although, some of these basalt flows have been previously dated by K-Ar methods (Table 1), none in the area have been dated using $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ methods. The purpose of this report is to describe selected flows in the Sierra Cuchillo and Mud Springs Mountains, present new $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages, and briefly discuss the importance of these ages.
METHODS AND RESULTS

Ten basalt samples from the Sierra Cuchillo and Mud Springs Mountains were dated by the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar method and sample locations are shown in Figure 1. Laboratory methods are described at http://geoinfo.nmt.edu/labs/argon/home.html. The results are summarized in Table 2 and complete details of the argon analyses are presented in Appendix 1. Overall the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age spectra are moderately well behaved and reveal plateau and/or isochron segments that are interpreted to record eruption ages between about 19 and 4.2 Ma (Fig. 7). Complexity of age spectra can be attributed to excess $^{40}$Ar in some cases and thus for several samples an isochron age is preferred over a plateau age (Fig. 7; Appendix 1). The basalt flows in the Cañada Alamosa and Priest Tank areas of the Sierra Cuchillo range in age from 17.97 ± 0.21 to 19.06 ± 0.05 Ma. The basalts at Tabletop Mountain near Winston (Sierra Cuchillo) are 4.23 ± 0.36 and 4.91 ± 0.03 Ma, whereas the basalt from the Mud Springs Mountains is 5.55 ± 0.21 Ma.

DESCRIPTION AND AGES OF BASALTS

Southern Cañada Alamosa

Basalt and associated scoria flows are found interbedded with sediments along the southern Cañada Alamosa (lower box) near San Mateo Canyon (Fig. 2). These basalt flows are up to 24 m thick, black to dark gray, fine grained, locally porphyritic, dense to vesicular, and exhibit local pillow-like structures (Fig. 8). The basalt consists of phenocrysts of plagioclase, pyroxene, and trace olivine (altered to iddingsite), magnetite, calcite, and iron oxides in a fine-grained groundmass. Locally, the basalt flows overlie either a well-cemented, poorly sorted, orange-gray to brown conglomeratic sandstone (Tc) or the rhyolite of Alamosa Canyon (Tac, 28.4 Ma; McLemore, 2010), and the basalt flows are overlain by up to 400 m of unconsolidated sediments of the Santa Fe Group (QTsf) in the Monticello graben. These basalt flows were thought to be similar in age to the Winston and Hillsboro basalt flows, based upon appearance and composition (Maldonado, 1974, 1980, 2012; McLemore, 2010). However $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar dates indicate that these basalts are much older than previously thought. One flow on the east side of southern Cañada Alamosa near San Mateo Canyon (Fig. 2) is 18.72 ± 0.11 Ma (Mont 901) and a second flow is 18.69 ± 0.27 Ma (Mont 900). A basalt flow or dike near the Burma Road, north of San Mateo Canyon, is 17.97 ± 0.21 Ma (Mont 902). A basalt flow on the west side of Cañada Alamosa (Fig. 2) is 18.38 ± 0.12 Ma (Mont 903). The $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages do not all overlap at 2 sigma error. Field relationships indicate two or more distinct flows.

Spring Canyon, north of Cañada Alamosa

Similar basalt flows are found in Spring Canyon near the Spring Canyon well, north of Ojo Caliente warm springs and Monticello Box of Cañada Alamosa, upstream of the southern Cañada Alamosa flows (Fig. 3). The lower basalt flow at Spring Canyon (Fig. 3) is 18.72 ± 0.04 Ma (Mont 907) and the upper flow is 18.67 ± 0.06 Ma (Mont 908a). Field relationships sug-
suggest that these are two distinct flows, although $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dates indicate they erupted nearly simultaneously, and synchronously to the basalt flows in the southern Cañada Alamosa.

**TABLE 2. Brief description and location of samples of Miocene-Pliocene basalt collected for this study from the Sierra Cuchillo and Mud Springs Mountains, Socorro and Sierra Counties (UTM zone 13, NAD27). Locations are in Figure 1.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAMPLE NUMBER</th>
<th>UTM E</th>
<th>UTM N</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>$^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age ±1σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MONT900</td>
<td>264848</td>
<td>3711140</td>
<td>alkaline basalt, east Alamosa Canyon</td>
<td>18.69 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONT901</td>
<td>264839</td>
<td>3711154</td>
<td>alkaline basalt, east Alamosa Canyon</td>
<td>18.72 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONT902</td>
<td>264851</td>
<td>3711504</td>
<td>alkaline basalt, Burma Road</td>
<td>17.97 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONT903</td>
<td>264485</td>
<td>3709710</td>
<td>alkaline basalt, west Alamosa Canyon</td>
<td>18.38 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONT907</td>
<td>259369</td>
<td>3718307</td>
<td>Spring Canyon, lower basalt flow</td>
<td>18.72 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONT908a</td>
<td>259366</td>
<td>3718310</td>
<td>Spring Canyon, upper basalt flow</td>
<td>18.67 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONT906</td>
<td>269274</td>
<td>3690805</td>
<td>Priest Tank basalt flow</td>
<td>19.06 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONT904</td>
<td>257036</td>
<td>3694467</td>
<td>Tabletop Mountain basalt flow, upper</td>
<td>4.23 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONT905</td>
<td>256675</td>
<td>3694455</td>
<td>Tabletop Mountain basalt flow, lower</td>
<td>4.91 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONT909</td>
<td>286743</td>
<td>3670124</td>
<td>Mud Springs basalt flow</td>
<td>5.55 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Priest Tank**

A poorly exposed vesicular basalt flow is exposed in the Priest Tank area on the eastern slopes of Sierra Cuchillo (Fig. 4; Heyl et al. 1983). The flow is 19.06 ± 0.05 Ma (Table 2, Fig. 8) and may be slightly older than the basalt flows in Cañada Alamosa and Spring Canyon to the north. The Priest Tank basalt is in a paleo-valley cut into Oligocene rocks and is similar in position to the Cañada Alamosa basalt flows overlying the rhyolite of Alamosa Canyon within the Monticello graben. The Priest Tank basalt is on the western footwall of Monticello graben.
Winston

A basalt flow erupted onto sediments of the Santa Fe Group on Tabletop Mountain east of Winston (Fig. 5; Seager et al., 1984; Harrison, 1989, 1992, 1994; Jahns et al., 2006; Cikoski and Harrison, 2012). The basalt flow is fine-grained, aphanitic, black to gray, dense to vesicular, and consists of plagioclase and olivine phenocrysts in a groundmass of plagioclase, olivine, pyroxene, and glass. The basalt flowed over the boundary fault between the Winston graben and Sierra Cuchillo (Harrison, 1992), where we infer a low fault scarp ~5 m tall. The basalt flow was originally dated by K-Ar as 4.8 ± 0.1 Ma (Table 1; Seager et al., 1984). Two separate flows were sampled for this study (Table 2). The upper flow is 4.23 ± 0.36 Ma (Mont904) and the lower flow is 4.91 ± 0.03 Ma (Mont905). Despite the large error of Mont904 we suggest that these are two separate flows that were erupted within ~0.1 to 0.7 Ma of each other.

Mud Springs Mountains

A vesicular olivine basalt flow erupted from a local vent onto shale of the Pennsylvanian Red House Formation in the Mud Springs Mountains (Fig. 6; Lozinsky, 1986; Maxwell and Oakman, 1990). The basalt is 10.7-12.1 m thick and contains numerous xenoliths of limestone, shale, jasperoid, and Proterozoic granite. The basalt flow is 5.55 ± 0.21 Ma (MONT909, Table 2). Clasts of this basalt flow are found in the adjacent piedmont facies, placing a maximum age of these deposits.

GEOCHEMISTRY

Chemically, the Cañada Alamosa and Winston basalt flows are alkaline, have low SiO$_2$, and have high TiO$_2$, Zr, Nb and Sr similar to the chemical composition of younger basalts in the Elephant Butte and Animas Mountains at Hillsboro (Fig. 9; Fodor, 1975, 1978; Haag, 1991; Anthony et al., 1992; McMillan et al., 2000). These basalts exhibit a typical trace element signature of within-plate basalts (Fig. 9). The composition of these basalts is likely controlled by partial melting in the upper mantle as a result of crustal thinning and upwelling asthenosphere in the Rio Grande rift (Anthony et al., 1992; McMillan et al., 2000; Baldridge, 2004; Chapin et al., 2004a, b). There are no chemical analyses of the basalts from Spring Canyon, Priest Tank, or Mud Springs Mountains.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

These $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar results indicate that basalt magmatism in the Sierra Cuchillo first erupted at 19-18 Ma, during the magma “gap” of Chapin et al. (2004a, b), and correlate with the Hayner Ranch Formation in southern New Mexico (Mack et al., 1998). The basalt flows in the Cañada Alamosa and Spring Canyon areas of the Sierra Cuchillo range in age from ~18.0 Ma to 18.7 Ma. The Priest Tank basalt flow is 19.06 ± 0.05 Ma and is perhaps slightly older than the basalt flows in Cañada Alamosa and Spring Canyon to the north. The andesite of Winston graben (upper andesite sequence of Jahns et al., 1978, 2006) also is ~18 Ma (K/Ar; Seager et al., 1984). Thus, 19-18 Ma basaltic volcanism was relatively widespread in the Sierra Cuchillo and adjacent Winston and Monticello grabens. The presence of these 19-18 Ma basalt flows interbedded with Tertiary sedimentary deposits in the Cañada Alamosa and Priest Tank areas indicates that sedimentation was occurring in the Winston and Monticello grabens during this time.

The Cañada Alamosa basalts overlie either the 28.4 Ma rhyolite of Alamosa Canyon or a few meters of conglomeratic basin fill. This implies that in Cañada Alamosa, the base of the Monticello graben is now exposed and the attitude of the conglomerates, basalt flows, and the rhyolite of Alamosa Canyon are all indicating that the graben floor is tilted slightly to the northeast, toward the graben-controlling fault on the northeast side of the graben.
FIGURE 7. $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age spectra of 10 basalt samples from the Sierra Cuchillo and Mud Springs Mountains, Socorro and Sierra Counties. Description of samples is in Table 2. Sample locations are shown on Figure 1. All data are shown with 1 sigma errors. P designates plateau age and I designates isochron age. Complete data details are given in Appendix 1.
Because the basalts are stratigraphically low in the graben fill, the basalts serve as a time-marker for initiation of the graben. The few meters of conglomerate below the basalts represent 10 million years of static base level and erosion in the area. The basalt may have flowed northeast downslope to the Burma Road, where similar age basalts are found, implying some topography during basalt eruption.

In the Winston area of the Sierra Cuchillo and Mud Springs Mountains, basaltic flows erupted at 5.5-4.2 Ma. Two separate eruptions formed the Tabletop Mountain near Winston and give somewhat discordant ages of 4.24 ± 0.36 and 4.91 ± 0.03 Ma. The Tabletop Mountain basalt places a minimum age constraint for deposition of Santa Fe Group sediments in the Winston graben (Cikoski and Harrison, 2012). The Mud Springs Mountains basalt flow is 5.55 ± 0.21 Ma.
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